



MINUTES

74th Plenary Meeting FAI International Skydiving Commission (ISC)



Orlando, Florida
3-4 February 2024

Ver.2 – 05 Mar 2024

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	WELCOME, ATTENDANCE AND ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	4
	1.1 Welcome	4
	1.2 In Memoriam	4
	1.3 Roll Call	4
	1.4 Apologies of Absence	4
	1.5 Verification of Delegates' authority	4
	1.6 Approval of Proxies (Fai by-laws 3.1.3 & 3.3.2)	4
	1.7 Declaration of any Conflicts of Interest	4
	1.8 Appointment of Scrutineers	4
	1.9 Nomination of Candidates for Bureau Positions	5
2	CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES OF 2023 PLENARY MEETING	5
	2.1 Corrections to 2023 plenary minutes	5
	2.2 Vote to approve the minutes of the 73 rd plenary meeting, 2023	5
3	FAI REPORT	5
4	ISC PRESIDENT'S ADDRESS	5
	4.1 President's Report	5
	4.2 Decisions by the ISC Bureau	6
5	FINANCE REPORT 2023	6
	5.1 Proposals from the ISC Finance Working Group	6
6	CISM	7
7	PARACHUTE-SKI	8
8	NOMINATIONS FOR BUREAU POSITIONS	8
9	MEDIA WORKING GROUP	8
10	STYLE AND ACCURACY LANDING	9
	10.1 Style and Accuracy Landing Competitions	9
	10.2 Style and Accuracy Landing 2024 Rule Changes	9
11	LATE BIDS FOR COMPETITIONS	10
12	FORMATION SKYDIVING	10
	12.1 Formation Skydiving 2024 Rule Changes	11
	12.2 Formation Skydiving Competitions	11
13	ARTISTIC EVENTS	11
	13.1 Artistic Events Competition Rules	11
	13.2 Artistic Events Competitions	12
14	DYNAMIC	13
	14.1 Dynamic Competitions	13
	14.2 Proposed new event: Solo Speed	13
	14.3 Dynamic Competition Rule Changes	13
15	SKYDIVING FOR DISABLED	14
16	INDOOR SKYDIVING	15
	16.1 Indoor Skydiving Competition rules	15
	16.2 Indoor Skydiving Competitions	15
17	CANOPY FORMATION	16
	17.1 Canopy Formation Competition Rules	16
	17.2 Canopy Formation Competitions	16

18	2026 BID FOR FS, AE, SP AND CP	17
19	CANOPY PILOTING	17
	19.1 Canopy Piloting Competition Rules	17
	19.2 Canopy Piloting and Canopy Piloting Freestyle Competitions	19
20	SPEED SKYDIVING	20
	20.1 Speed Skydiving Competition Rules	20
	20.2 Speed Skydiving Competitions	20
21	WINGSUIT FLYING	21
	21.1 Wingsuit Flying Competition Rules	21
	21.2 Wingsuit Flying Competitions	21
22	JUDGES COMMITTEE	21
	22.1 Judges Committee Rule Changes	21
	22.2 Chief Judges for 2024	22
23	TECHNICAL AND SAFETY COMMITTEE	22
24	RULES AND REGULATIONS	24
25	FINANCE	25
	25.1 Proposed Budget for 2024 and Draft Budget for 2025	25
26	LIST OF APPROVED AND QUALIFIED JURORS	26
	26.1 FAI Controllers and Jurors for the upcoming competitions	26
27	AWARDS	27
	27.1 FAI gold Parachuting Medal	27
28	OTHER BUSINESS	27
29	ELECTIONS AND APPOINTMENTS	27
	29.1 Confirmation of Committees and Working Groups	27
	29.2 Bureau Elections	27
30	NEW ISC PRESIDENT SPEECH	28
31	75 TH FAI ISC PLENARY MEETING	28
	31.1 Vote not to meet in the vicinity of the FAI Secretariat	28
	31.2 75 th ISC plenary (2025)	29
	31.3 76 th ISC Plenary (2026)	29
32	THANKS TO HOST AND ADJOURNMENT OF 74 TH PLENARY MEETING	29
	32.1 Adjournment	30

1 WELCOME, ATTENDANCE AND ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

1.1 WELCOME

Gillian RAYNER, ISC President opened the meeting of the 74th ISC Plenary and welcomed Delegates, Alternate Delegates, Appointed Observers and guests. Albert BERCHTOLD, USA Alternate Delegate and USPA Executive Director welcomed all participants, went over housekeeping issues and thanked the USPA staff, volunteers and the many sponsors for their efforts and contribution.

1.2 IN MEMORIAM

A moment of silence was observed in remembrance of those persons in the skydiving community who passed away since the 2023 Plenary and who will be greatly missed.

- Franz Singraber, Austria
- Omer Gavea, Israel
- Wim Velhuizen, Netherlands
- Tomas Berriolo, Argentina
- Norma Sansone – Argentina
- Roberto Mugnani – Argentina
- Ivan Karakeshev – Bulgaria
- Petar Angiozov - Bulgaria

1.3 ROLL CALL

Vera ASQUITH, ISC Recording Secretary conducted the roll call (per IR 3.8.1). The result of the roll call indicated a total of 40 delegates (NAC's) present and 41 from that afternoon onward, including Proxies. (Appendix 1)

1.4 APOLOGIES OF ABSENCE

No apologies were received.

1.5 VERIFICATION OF DELEGATES' AUTHORITY

All delegates were confirmed with the FAI.

1.6 APPROVAL OF PROXIES (FAI BY-LAWS 3.1.3 & 3.3.2)

10 proxies were approved: Venezuela to Chile, Kazakhstan to Spain, Slovenia to Bulgaria, Lithuania to Austria, Ukraine to Poland, Romania to Hungary, Serbia to Czech Republic, Portugal to France, Estonia to Finland, Argentina to Ireland. (Note: an approved proxy from Egypt to South Africa had been received, but was not required as the Delegate from Egypt was present)

1.7 DECLARATION OF ANY CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

No conflicts of interest were declared.

1.8 APPOINTMENT OF SCRUTINEERS

Two impartial persons not standing for election were asked to volunteer to act as scrutineers. Marylou LAUGHLIN (USA) and Bert HAM (NED), volunteered and, with the approval of the Plenary, were appointed as scrutineers for the remainder of the Plenary.

1.9 NOMINATION OF CANDIDATES FOR BUREAU POSITIONS

Nominations for candidates for all of the Bureau Positions were received by the scrutineers and the plenary were advised that the Elections would be held during Item 26 of the Plenary Meeting, using the FAI approved voting tool.

2 CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES OF 2023 PLENARY MEETING

2.1 CORRECTIONS TO 2023 PLENARY MINUTES

The ISC President informed the Plenary of two corrections to the 2023 Plenary Minutes.

2.1.1 The Minutes of the 2023 Plenary (Item 22.3) had indicated that “Term of office of the President to be limited” (rather than a maximum number of terms). This proposal had been withdrawn by the Bureau and was included in the Minutes in error.

2.1.2 Missing from item 1.9 of the Plenary minutes was the suggestion from Liam McNULTY, (IRE), that the position of Vice President remain vacant for one additional year, until the full elections in 2024. He stated this had been a precedent in the past, and that the democratic process should be followed. Ms. Rayner responded that the voting process had begun and the request should have been made prior to this time. There was no further discussion.

2.2 VOTE TO APPROVE THE MINUTES OF THE 73RD PLENARY MEETING, 2023

With no other changes declared, the Delegates were asked to approve the Minutes of the 73rd Plenary Meeting held in Malmo, Sweden with the additions indicated in 2.1.1 and 2.1.2.

VOTE: It was moved that the Minutes of the 73rd Plenary Meeting be accepted. With 0 votes against and 0 abstentions, the minutes were approved.

3 FAI REPORT

The FAI Report was presented by the FAI Secretary General, Markus HAGGENEY, during the Open Meeting. The FAI Secretary General discussed the financial health of the organization, the financial setup of FAI, and future income projections. The meeting also covered various topics such as the importance of the relationship with the FAI, the General Conference, the Secretariat's budget and investment activities, the organization's activities over the past six years, seminars and webinars, the insurance scheme for officials involved in skydiving events, and the future of skydiving in the World Games. (Appendix 2)

4 ISC PRESIDENT'S ADDRESS

4.1 PRESIDENT'S REPORT

Gill RAYNER summarised her lengthy history with the ISC, as a delegate to France, Recording Secretary, 1st Vice President, Executive Board member and finally as ISC President for the past six years. She expressed that she hoped she had left a legacy, including introducing Indoor Skydiving and the renaming of IPC to ISC. She thanked those who she had worked with over the years and wished the incoming Bureau great success. She also indicated that she will support her successor.

Ms. RAYNER continued with a request that the Plenary approve that she continue as the CASI delegate until October, given that she is the 1st President of CASI, is a major contributor and is in the middle of some important projects, which she would like to bring to completion. This was questioned by Gernot RITTENSCHOBERRi (AUT) and thereafter, Dr. Rainer HOENLE (GER) said that the representative to CASI must be the Commission President. Doris MERZ (SWI) supported Ms. Rayner's appointment until October, claiming that ISC is fortunate to have her as our representative. The ISC President clarified that indeed CASI is comprised of Commission Presidents, but this position

may also be awarded to an appointed representative of the Airsport Commission. Mr. RITTENSCHÖBER suggested that this subject be tabled until the new Bureau is elected. It was agreed that this subject be brought up again at the end of the meeting.

4.2 DECISIONS BY THE ISC BUREAU

No questions were raised regarding the Interim Bureau Decisions (IBDs) made during 2023 and the one IBD in January, 2024.

VOTE: It was moved to accept the IBDs of 2023, and the 2024 IBD made prior to the Plenary. With 0 votes against and 0 abstentions, the IBD's have been ratified.

5 FINANCE REPORT 2023

Gill RAYNER presented the Finance Report for 2023 on behalf of Gail BRADLEY who had resigned as of October, 2023. She stated that Ms. Bradley had agreed to work with the incoming Finance Secretary to hand over the work and that she also wished to thank everyone who supported her throughout her term as Finance Secretary, especially the Finance Working Group members.

Ms. RAYNER summarised the 2023 Final Budget. The main figures had been approved based on 829 participants at our events with a SF of 150CHF. An income of 137,910CHF was forecast and the actual income was 147,685CHF. She pointed out that we underestimated the number of participants and overestimated the amount of the SF, and our expenses were less than anticipated. The surplus for this year was 52,921CHF, which would be carried into the 2024 account. She then shared the Discipline Financials.

Vote: it was moved that the ISC Finance Report for 2023 be approved. With 0 votes against, and 0 abstentions, the report was approved.

The 2023 Final Budget and Discipline Financials are appended to these minutes (Appendix 3 & 4)

5.1 PROPOSALS FROM THE ISC FINANCE WORKING GROUP

Ms. RAYNER outlined proposals and comments from the Finance Working Group, as follows.

Item 1 – Proposal to allow the Finance Secretary to use up to 5% discretion (previously 3%), to cover exchange rate and banking costs, as the 3% discretion was not sufficient for many currencies.

Vote: It was moved to approve the FS discretion increase from up to 3% to up to 5%. With 0 votes against and 0 abstentions, this proposal was approved.

Item 2 – Proposal to include outbound-only Israeli-based FAI officials who are normally resident in Israel to be considered for the Intercontinental travel limits (2000CHF) as opposed to Intracontinental travel limits (700CHF). At present, per FAI rules, Israel is a part of the European continental region. The Finance Secretary has had to make a number of exceptions over the years as the cost of flying from Israel was always over the Intra-Continental allowable.

VOTE: It was moved to increase the travel limits for outbound travel from Israel to be in line with the Intercontinental allowable limits. With 0 votes against and 1 abstention, this increase was approved.

Item 3: Propose an addition to the ISC 2024 Expense reimbursement policy. As a result of many quotes having been received for flights (by those taking private cars and/or doing further trips) being excessive, because they were dated just days before an event or even after it, it was proposed that an additional sentence be added to the ISC 2024 Expense Reimbursement Policy. As follows: *The substantiation of airfare/ground travel must be provided in the form of dated quotes obtained at the time the travel would ordinarily have been booked based on the booking dates of other officials' travel bookings, but not in the days immediately before the intended date of travel.*

Vote: To accept the proposal to add the additional sentence regarding airline quotes to the Expense Reimbursement policy. With 0 votes against and 0 abstentions, the addition was approved.

Item 4 – FCEAD and Fee Schedule

FCEAD: The Finance Working Group proposed that the Application Fee be deducted from the required Deposit when the invoice is raised for the Deposit. Further, the total of both be refunded at the time the Deposit is usually refunded in accordance with FCEAD 2.2.3. It was pointed out that this was a new way of refunding the Application fee which had been removed a couple of years ago by mistake. The proposed addition to FCEAD 2.2.1 is: *(3) Held by the ISC and used as a deduction from the amount of Deposit payable under 2.2.3, then returned in one transfer together with the now-reduced Deposit according to 2.2.3.*

Vote: To accept the inclusion of the additional point 2.2.1(3), as proposed, to the FCEAD. With 0 votes against and 0 abstentions this proposal was approved.

FAI Fees Schedule: The Finance Secretary proposed to add to the Fee Schedule the words “*per discipline*” to the ISC Bid Application Fee. This resulted in some discussion, including a question to the Chair of the R&R Committee from Gernot RITTENSCHÖBER who asked for the definition of “discipline” vs the definition of “event”. For example, in the FS Event there are the different disciplines, such as 4-way, 8-way, etc. Gill RAYNER acknowledged that this was a good question and admitted that she did not agree with this proposal. She explained that, originally, the fee was to be used as a bond, and that these funds could be held for up to two years. There was concern raised that “per discipline” would not be attractive to the bidders and could possibly affect the smaller disciplines. Ms. RAYNER ended with a suggestion that this proposal be discussed at a later time and no vote was taken.

Item 5 – Ms. RAYNER informed the plenary that a replacement page (Annex 4) was developed to be included in the FAI Controller Handbook. It is to assist FAI Controllers in obtaining all necessary banking and refunding information and performing simple sums. Ronald OVERDIJK (R&R) expressed that this was not a rule change and did not require a vote.

Item 6 – Ms RAYNER shared, on behalf of the Finance Working Group, some concern regarding the rule about bringing in extra judges if a certain number of competitors is exceeded. The last FCE in Norway was given as an example. In this case there were only two extra competitors, and an additional judge was brought in, at the last minute from Australia, at great cost. The Judges Committee and Chief Judges were asked to be more fiscally responsible.

6 CISM

Gernot RITTENSCHÖBER presented the CISM report for 2023. He shared a short presentation outlining the public viewing system that has been developed for the Style event. The display shows the competitor details, the jump itself in normal and slow speed, the performance time, the individual judges scores, and the final score. This system will be used at the upcoming competition in Hungary in July. In response to a question from Doris MERZ, Mr. RITTENSCHÖBER stated that this system only gives a score for Style. The CISM competition will be using two scoring systems that will work together to provide overall scores.

He continued his presentation by stating that other than the upcoming event in Hungary, there have been no bids received for 2025. There will be a Parachute-Ski test event this year and a World Championship in Lucerne (SUI) 23-30 March, 2025. As usual, Mr. RITTENSCHÖBER invited the delegates to consider hosting a CISM Event.

In closing, Mr. RITTENSCHÖBER stated that he will leave the position of President and Capt. Tamas BANZSKI of Hungary will be representing CISM at the next plenary.

Capt. BANZSKI provided a short video presentation of the next CISM World Championship in Szolnok, Hungary. Ms. RAYNER welcomed him to the ISC.

7 PARACHUTE-SKI

Sandro GARGINI, a member of the Parachute-Ski Committee, presented the report on behalf of Mr. Michael EGGER, Committee Chair. He shared the names of the Committee Members and forwarded best regards from Mr. EGGER, as well as his thanks to the Committee for their hard work. He continued with a summary of Competitions held in 2023, which included the World Cup Series in Austria and Italy, and a cancelled event in the Czech Republic (weather issues), with medal ceremonies held in Aprica, Italy. He indicated that it was a successful season with well organised events and good participation and that the results and ranking lists could be found on the new website: www.parachute-ski.com. He paid tribute to Franz Singraber, who passed away on December 23rd. Franz had, for many years, been dedicated to Parachute-Ski, and contributed to the sport in many ways.

There were no PS rule changes presented, however, as a result of confusion with Disabled sports, the Committee proposed changing the name of the Discipline to: Parachute-Ski.

VOTE: To approve to change the name from Para-Ski to Parachute-Ski. With 0 votes against, and 0 abstentions, the name change was adopted.

Mr. Gargini went on to state that no bids had been received for 2025, and asked the Plenary to authorise the ISC Bureau to approve any late bids that are submitted.

VOTE: To authorise the Bureau to review and approve any bid that is received for 2025. With 0 votes against and 0 abstentions, this authorisation was approved.

Mr. GARGINI ended his presentation by sharing that Michael EGGER would be retiring after the next Plenary meeting in 2025.

The ISC President indicated that, as a Commission, we need to think seriously about Parachute-Ski. There have been no world championships for a number of years and there are no hosts or bidders coming forward. She urged the committee to either find hosts or consider abandoning this discipline.

8 NOMINATIONS FOR BUREAU POSITIONS

After having collected the Nomination slips for 2024 Bureau Positions, those persons who were nominated were asked if they would stand for election. Nominated for Bureau were:

President:	Alberto Martin-Paracuellos (yes), and Gillian Rayner (declined)
1 st Vice President:	Rina Gallo (yes), Peter Pfalzgraf (yes), Elisabet Mikaelsson (declined), Ronald Overdijk (declined), Gillian Rayner (declined), Jim Rees (declined), John Smyth (declined), Ron Miasnikov (declined), Ani Stamenova (declined)
Vice-President (2):	Jim Rees (yes), Doris Merz (declined), Rina Gallo (yes), Ronald Overdijk (declined), Ani Stamenova (yes), Elisabet Mikaelsson (declined), Ron Miasnikov (yes), Omar Alhegelan (yes), John Smyth (yes), Gillian Rayner (declined), Peter Pfalzgraf (yes), Domitille Kiger (declined)
Finance Secretary:	Doris Merz (declined), Natasha Higman (yes), Gail Bradley (declined, via a letter read by Ms. Rayner), Liam McNulty (declined)
Recording Secretary:	Vera Asquith (yes), Liam McNulty (declined).

9 MEDIA WORKING GROUP

Elisabet MIKAELSSON, Chair of the Media Working Group indicated that ISC has been working with The EdgE since July 2019, and introduced Hollie Allum and Anna Moxnes, co-founders. Hollie provided a short presentation of the Agency and the work that they do. She pointed out that with any new account they do a Social Audit, and then put together a strategy to best represent the Sport they are representing. She emphasized the importance of promoting ISC and the sport through social

media, especially Facebook and Instagram. She shared some impressive statistics on the growth of the number of followers of the ISC page and the increased engagement resulting from it. She indicated that 2023 is one of the best years yet and projects even more readership next year.

Ms. MIKAELSSON thanked the EdgE for their work over the past few years, and hoped the plenary now understands the work that they do, and can appreciate the increased engagement on both platforms. She concluded that she hoped the Plenary supports the EdgE in the budget for 2024. Gill RAYNER concurred that it was beneficial to have professionals manage these media platforms as they can do the work that volunteers cannot. She agreed that it is a worthwhile investment for the future.

10 STYLE AND ACCURACY LANDING

10.1 STYLE AND ACCURACY LANDING COMPETITIONS

Ani STAMENOVA, Committee Chair, began her report with a summary of competitions held in 2023. There were 12 competitions with the biggest being the 15th World Cup of Style and Accuracy Landing, which was held in Ravenna, Italy from 30 September to 8 October, 2023. She added that they were good, well attended competitions. Regarding the upcoming 38th FAI World and 12th FAI Junior Style and Accuracy Landing Championships, to be held in Prostejov, she indicated that the Committee did not agree with the Organiser's request to change the dates. After consultation with Martin Dlouhy, the Committee agreed that they would propose to only change the location and entry fee. There have been no bids received for 2025, and there is a letter of intent for 2026 from Bulgaria.

10.2 STYLE AND ACCURACY LANDING 2024 RULE CHANGES

Ms STAMENOVA summarised the proposed rule changes, which had been adjusted after discussion during the Open Meeting, as follows:

4.4, 4.4.1, 4.4.2 – rewording to clarify who qualifies as Individual Overall Winner and how calculations are done.

5.1.1 - renumbering.

5.1.3.1 – change regarding maximum wind speed limits, to allow them to change per round.

5.1.5.6 – rewording to better describe suitable footwear

5.2.8.8 - fixed a typo in style degrees (from 180-90)

6.1.1.2 – clarification regarding failure of the camera system

7 - Clarifying title of the Competition and terminology regarding champion/winner

7.2.2.1 and 7.2.2 - rewording regarding who continues into the semi-final and final rounds (Accuracy)

7.2.4.1 to 7.2.4.3 – rewording regarding who continues into the 3rd, 4th and 5th rounds (Style)

7.5.3.1 and 7.5.2 – rewording to clarify how the Overall World/Continental Champions are selected.

7.6.1 – small housekeeping fix.

Following the presentation of the proposed rule changes, there was discussion about the rule change (5.1.3.1) regarding winds. Domitille KIGER (FRA) stated that France was not in agreement with the proposed change regarding adjusting the maximum wind speeds per round and asked for a separate vote to be taken. Gernot RITTENSCHÖBER (AUT) and James HAYHURST (USA) provided explanations, and examples of why it is a good idea to change the rule to allow the adjustment of the wind limit per round. Doris MERZ suggested that the wind limit could be changed only if the venue changes.

SEPARATE VOTE on rule 5.1.3.1 – Question: “Is anyone against the proposal for this rule change”. With 2 votes against, and 1 abstention, the proposed rule change was adopted.

VOTE – to accept the proposed Style and Accuracy Landing rule changes for 2024. With 0 votes against, and 0 abstentions, the rule changes were adopted.

The Final approved rule change document will be appended to these minutes (Appendix 5)

Ani STAMENOVA reported that the Committee had discussed the future of Style. She stated that a proposal had been sent to the delegates and asked them to share this document with their members. She then presented the members of the Committee for 2024.

Zeljko TANASKOVIC, Chair of the Judges Committee, stated that the Judges Committee disagreed with the committee report that was included in the agenda regarding judges work and mistakes that were made. He continued to say that after discussion with those involved, it was agreed that what was described in the report was not accurate. Ms. STAMENOVA agreed with him and stated that she had adjusted the wording to apply to only the "Chief Judge" and not the "Judges". This updated report will be included as an Addendum to these minutes (Appendix 6)

During the open meeting, Martin Dlouhy presented proposed changes to the upcoming 38th FAI World and 12th FAI Junior Style and Accuracy Landing Championships, to be held in Prostějov, Czech Republic from 31 August to 6 September, 2024. These proposed changes included the dates, the location of the venue to a stadium in town, and an adjustment to the Entry Fees. Ms. STAMENOVA stated that the Committee agreed to change the location and Entry Fees but not the date. She stated that this was agreed to by the organiser. The document with these changes is appended to these minutes (Appendix 7)

VOTE: It was moved to accept the proposed change to entry fees and venue of the 38th FAI World and 12th FAI Junior Style and Accuracy Landing Championships, to be held in Prostějov, Czech Republic. With 0 votes against and 0 abstentions the changes were adopted.

11 LATE BIDS FOR COMPETITIONS

The ISC President pointed out that three late Bids were received for 2025 (two for FS, AE and SP and one for SP only). She added that both bidders who included Speed have agreed to split out the Speed event, if necessary. She also informed the plenary that after the Artistic Events presentation, a vote would be taken to select one of the two bids that contain FS & AE. A vote would be taken to select where the Speed Event would be held after the Speed Presentation.

Ms. RAYNER indicated that a 2/3 majority would be required to vote on the bids that were not received in time to be put on the agenda.

VOTE: It was moved that the three bids (two for FS, AE, SP and one for SP only) be put on the agenda to be presented. With 0 votes against and 0 abstentions, the Bids were added to the agenda.

Ms. RAYNER then informed the plenary that two additional Bids, for Indoor Skydiving in 2025, were also received after the deadline (Belgium and USA).

VOTE: It was moved that the two bids for Indoor Skydiving for 2025 be put on the agenda to be presented. With 0 votes against and 0 abstentions, the Bids were added to the agenda.

For 2026, a late bid had been received for FS, AE, SP and CP. Prior to the Canopy Piloting presentation, a 2/3 majority vote would be taken to allow the Bid to be put on the agenda, and if successful, would be vote upon at that time.

12 FORMATION SKYDIVING

Mark SZULMAYER, FS Committee Chair, presented the Committee members for 2024, and indicated that no changes were made from last year.

12.1 FORMATION SKYDIVING 2024 RULE CHANGES

Mr. SZULMAYER summarised the proposed rule changes, as follows:

For outdoor:

2.15 – wording change to the definition of NV (to be in line with CF wording)

3.1.4 – Exit altitude wording change to clarify what is and is not permitted if there is a need to change exit altitude given meteorological conditions. It does not change the intent of the rule.

4.6.2 – Rewording of an obsolete outdoor rule regarding exits

4.5 – As video requirements will be standardised across all video disciplines (agreed to be all committees), this rule indicates where details regarding Video Transmission and Recording can be found.

SC5 – 5.2.6(1-13) Details about video requirements

For Indoor FS:

FS CR's - 4.8.3, 6.2.1, 6.3.2, 6.3.6, 6.4.1, 6.4.4, Dive Pool and SC5 - 3.2.11(4), 3.2.5.2 - all have changes which reflect the removal of the Indoor Junior Event.

2.14.3 – entrance wording change for 8-way, allowing for different starting formations.

4.5.4 – informing competitors of any video limitations – especially if a change is made during the competition that the competitors should be made aware of.

4.7.4 - Creating a new paragraph to separate re-performance height exclusion from gear exclusion (no wording change).

6.3.4 – Additional wording regarding Indoor participation limits, to make it in line with outdoor rules.

FCEAD (Annex 2) 2.14, 2.20 - to highlight to tunnel operators the items that are required, such as having competitors be able to view their performances, and to have similar conditions during training and competition.

SC5 3.2.11(1,2) - Change to SC5 to recognize female records even if there is not a female category.

VOTE: to accept all Formation Skydiving Rule Changes as presented. With 0 votes against and 0 abstentions, the rules were adopted.

The Final approved rule change document is appended to these minutes (Appendix 8)

12.2 FORMATION SKYDIVING COMPETITIONS

Mr. SZULMAYER thanked the organisers of the 4th World Championship of Indoor Skydiving in Tatralandia, Slovakia and the 23rd World Cup of Formation Skydiving which took place in Voss, Norway for hosting these competitions. He gave special recognition to Voss, who put on a spectacular competition.

He then apprised the plenary of the upcoming competitions in 2024, which include the World Cup of Indoor Skydiving in Macau in April and the 26th FAI World Formation Skydiving Championships to be held in Beaufort, North Carolina.

He indicated that the presentations for the 2025 and 2026 events would be held at a later time.

13 ARTISTIC EVENTS

Ron MIASNIKOV, Committee Chair, presented the members of the Artistic Events Committee, and thanked them and the committee advisers for their hard work. She pointed out that all proposed changes had been discussed with the Committee and Competitors.

13.1 ARTISTIC EVENTS COMPETITION RULES

Regarding SC5 6.6.1.2(2), Ms. MIASNIKOV requested an exclusion from this rule. Where it states that “the CJ must select 1 judge not previously selected for an FCE in that discipline...”, she requested that AE Indoor Skydiving be excluded from this requirement, as since it is live judging, a very experienced panel is required.

VOTE: To exclude AE Indoor Skydiving from the requirement in SC5 6.6.1.2(2) to select 1 judge not previously selected for an FCE. With 1 vote against and 0 abstentions, this change was approved.

Competition rule changes, cont'd...

3.8 – a sentence indicating that the rules regarding video can be found in SC5
4.6 – Competitors are permitted to use a wind tunnel during an event
5.2.3.3 – result of executing Compulsory Sequences in a different order
6.10.1 – Training jumps no longer required as there is a new process for determining technical score.
Compulsory Moves – new judging guidelines, clarification and better wording to FR-2, FR-3, FR-6, FR-7, FR-8, FF-6 and FF-8. The Side-by-Side Mixed Carve to replace the Peekaboo, which was too difficult to perform and judge.

VOTE: to accept the Artistic Rule Changes as presented. With 0 votes against and 0 abstentions, the AE rule changes were adopted.

The Final approved rule change document is appended to these minutes (Appendix 9)

13.2 ARTISTIC EVENTS COMPETITIONS

Ms. MIASNIKOV requested that delegates respond to survey questions regarding competitions, so organisers can have an estimate of the number of competitors. This is important to know and be able to plan for which events will be contested, including numbers of judges required, ordering of medals, organising the venue, etc.

She summarised the 2023 World Championships in Tatralandia, Slovakia, including numbers of competitors and number of judging panels. She said there were very challenging conditions with air temperature in the tunnel, and indicated that this would be further discussed during the Indoor Presentation. For the outdoor competition in Voss, she shared photos of the venue and praised the efforts of the organisers in making it a fantastic competition. She summarised the numbers of competitors and NACs present, and thanked the judges for their work.

Regarding 2024 Competitions Ms. MIASNIKOV said that, at the 5th World Cup of Indoor Skydiving in Macau, there would be no Asian Championship due to lack of teams, and the European Championship will be held for Solo Freestyle.

She advised the Plenary that the bids for 2025 would be voted for during the Indoor presentation.

For the 2024 Outdoor Competitions, regarding the cancelled competition in Israel, Ms. MIASNIKOV shared with the Plenary that 51 competitors from Skydive Perris built a star of David in recognition of Israel, which was appreciated by her country-men, and thanked those who provided support. She hoped to, once again, present a bid in the future. She thanked the USA for hosting the 2024 World Championships in Beaufort, North Carolina.

For the 2025 World Cups of AE, FS and SP, there were two bids on the table, one from Skydive Teuge in the Netherlands and one from USA, Skydive Spaceland. Ronald OVERDIJK, (NED) informed the Plenary that Skydive Teuge had two variations of the Bid, one which included Speed and one excluding Speed (one day shorter and a small reduction in the price). Albert BERCHTOLD, (USA) stated that the USA also had two bid options, one with and one without Speed. He indicated that there would be no change in dates or entry fees.

A secret vote was then taken to select either the Netherlands or USA to host the 2025 World Cups.

SECRET VOTE: to select the host of the 2025 World Cups of Formation Skydiving and Artistic Events. With 9 votes in favour of USA, 31 votes in favour of the Netherlands and 0 abstentions, the 2025 World Cup of FS and AE has been awarded to Skydive Teuge from the Netherlands.

The Bids are appended to these minutes (Appendix 10 and 11)

14 DYNAMIC

Kristian MOXNES, Committee Chair, began by presenting the members of the Dynamic Committee and indicated that there were two changes. Mason CORBY (AUS) had resigned and was replaced with Diana COMITO (SUI).

14.1 DYNAMIC COMPETITIONS

Mr. MOXNES reported on the Dynamic Event which was held during the 4th World Championship of Indoor Skydiving in Tatralandia, Slovakia in April, 2023. He stated that the attendance was a little lower than Belgium's competition, but was still successful. The DY4 Junior event did not happen due to lack of interest.

For the 5th World Cup of Indoor Skydiving in Macau, he reported that the turnout seemed to look good, however both Junior categories were cancelled due to low initial interest. Some discussion ensued regarding the need to keep this Junior category as it has been cancelled for two years in a row. Ron MIASNIKOV supported keeping it, as the same rules are used. When Mr. MOXNES questioned if the event should be removed, the ISC President read the pertinent rule regarding the cancellation of an event and indicated that it is not the Bureau, but the Plenary that votes to remove an event. She continued to say that people train for an event, expect to attend it, and then the event is cancelled. Also, something to consider is the cost to the organiser who orders medals and they are not used. Mr. MOXNES suggested that as a new event, and category, Dynamic is still growing and ended the discussion by stating that the Committee would like to keep the Junior Category in the rules for another year. He indicated that the judging panel for Macau is ready to go, and they have five judges plus the CJ.

For 2025, a late bid was received from Belgium to host the 5th FAI World Skydiving Championships. He commented that Belgium hosted a successful competition in 2022, and the Committee supports the Bid.

14.2 PROPOSED NEW EVENT: SOLO SPEED

Kristian MOXNES then introduced a new Dynamic event, Solo speed, which he hoped to have implemented in 2025. He said it will help to grow the sport, and get more Sanction Fees. He indicated that he had spoken to Havard Flaas from Belgium, who is prepared to include this new event in the competition in 2025.

After discussion with the Judges Committee, it was suggested that one additional judge would be added if there are more than 15 competitors registered for Solo Speed. He went on to say that it has the same set up in the wind tunnel, is supported by the competitors, and is already being done at the national level in many countries using the same rules. A video of the event was shown.

If approved, some minor changes would be required to SC5, which would include adding "DS" (dynamic Solo Speed) and adding an extra judge if more than 15 competitors are registered.

A motion was then put on the floor to agree to add Dynamic Solo Speed as a new event.

VOTE: to add Solo Speed as a new event in Dynamic. With 0 votes against and 1 abstention, Solo Speed has been accepted as a new event.

14.3 DYNAMIC COMPETITION RULE CHANGES

Kristian MOXNES summarised the proposed changes to the Dynamic Competition Rules, as follows:

M-7 – wording change to require passing through the centre only (in line with other mixers)

Renaming the Butterfly – simplification

5.2.4 – Adding a cross reference - to para. 5.2.2 and 5.2.3

6.2.1.1 – Change wording in point 4 to "Speed Setting used". (To be in line with current use)

5.5.1 – adding wording to encourage clean flying in the qualifications and to have a reward system for flying clean and having no (or few) penalties. (starting second in a tournament against a team who has more penalties).

4.6.5 – adding wording to indicate that by entering the flight chamber, the performer is validating the speed settings.

4.4.5 - Juniors will have same draw for juniors and open

3.1.2 – Juniors will be judged first

6.2.3.5 – Adding the possibility for any judge to make an “Absolute Call” if an absolutely incorrect assessment has occurred.

Mr. Randy Connell (USA) indicated that in bullet pt. #2, the use of the term “judgement call” was incorrect and suggested deleting “*a judgement call may occur*” from the sentence. It was agreed by the Plenary that the final rule change (6.2.3.5) will have the words “*a judgement call may occur*” removed. This will be reflected in the Final DY Competition Rule change document.

5.2.2, 5.2.3, 5.6.2-5.6.5 – Deleted or adjusted as the requirement for competitors to provide a video prior to the routine has been removed. Ranking and qualifications will be applied to speed routines only, not free routines.

6.2.2 – to emphasize that the technical difficulty scores be used throughout the competition.

6.4.1 – adding “at the end of each Qualification Round”

6.4.2 – removing the need for scores to be published, as free routines will not be judged during qualifications.

- To limit the number of categories one competitor can enter (Max.2)

VOTE: To accept the 2024 Dynamic rule changes as presented. With 0 votes against and 0 abstentions, the rule changes were adopted.

The Final approved rule change document will be appended to these minutes (Appendix 12)

Peter PFALZGRAF (GER) pointed out that the competition in Macau will have the World Cup, as well as the European and Asian Championships. He questioned Mr. MOXNES about the situation where, if a competitor is knocked out in a battle system during the World Championship, would they be able to continue in the European or Asian Championship.

Mr. MOXNES explained that the tournament is such that every competitor gets a ranking, from 1st to last. He suggested that the easiest solution is to pull out the European ranked competitors, but he admitted that this might not be fair as the competitor may have been knocked out by a non-European. He said that he did not have a good solution, other than to have a separate tournament, which would not be viable. Another solution would be to move away from the battle system, which he is against, as it is more exciting for spectators. Mr. PFALZGRAF disagreed and suggested that we get away from the battle system, as it is the only event in ISC that has this, and believed it is not fair to the competitors. Mr. MOXNES stated he would welcome suggestions about how to resolve this issue and Ron MIASNIKOV (ISR) suggested that perhaps the Europeans can compete against each other. It was agreed that this should be discussed further at a later time. Mr. MOXNES ended by stating that for Macau, the European and Asian Champions will be declared from their ranking in the competition.

Mr. MOXNES ended his presentation by stating that there were no budget items from the Dynamic Committee for 2024.

15 SKYDIVING FOR DISABLED

Domitille KIGER presented the Skydiving for Disabled Report. She shared that due to ill health, Patrice GIRARDIN was unable to continue work on this project, and she assured the Plenary that the Committee is continuing to develop this event. She presented the names of the Committee Members and pointed out that it is an international group. At this point, she shared a video of a Handifly flyer in competition, and explained how the scoring works.

Ms. KIGER shared that in 2023 there were four Handifly competitions (Czech Open, French Nationals, an Open competition in France and one in Milan), as well as a test event in Munich. There will be three open competitions and one national competition in 2024.

The goal for 2025 is to run a World Series which would consist of five international competitions. The Committee plans to be prepared to have the 1st FAI Handifly World Cup in 2026, and the 1st FAI World Handifly Championships in 2027.

She continued on to say that the Committee believes the event could be an “add-on” to another competition and that, for organisational purposes, the FS community would be the best partner (tunnel size, wind speed, etc.), however, the competition could be run with AE/DY as well, or it could be a stand-alone event.

Ms. KIGER continued by describing the work of the Classification Board. She mentioned that Jerome DAVID, a technical skydiver working for the French Parachute Federation under the Ministry of Sport, developed the discipline. After four years of research and development, he was able to create the training materials and framework to promote the competition. She reported that the Classification Board consists of international medical doctors and bodyflight experts. Their work is to define the compensation coefficients used to level the playing field by adjusting for varying degrees of disability. Classification training webinars to teach the assessment methodology will be conducted in April, 2024. She invited NACs to submit names of interested experts (doctors, bodyflight experts) to contact her at domitillekiger@gmail.com. Ms. Elisabet Mikaelsson asked if physiotherapist could be on the Classification Board. Ms. Kiger replied that they are open to suggestions and would consider this.

Ms. KIGER described the Judging framework and stated that the judging process is simple, and their recommendation is to utilize FAI rated judges of any discipline and the Committee will work closely with the Judges Committee. She indicated that the latest version of the Handifly race can be found at the following address: <https://www.ffp.asso.fr/en/handifly-race/> She invited anyone interested in hosting a test event to please contact the committee.

The Committee intends to put together a funding proposal to develop the Handifly race’s path to having an FCE.

16 INDOOR SKYDIVING

Ron MIASNIKOV, Committee Member, presented the IS Report on behalf of Sarka FERREROVA, who is on maternity leave. She introduced the members of the Indoor Skydiving Committee

16.1 INDOOR SKYDIVING COMPETITION RULES

Ms. MIASNIKOV reported that during the 4th Indoor Skydiving Championships which were held in Tatralandia, Slovakia, there was a problem with very high temperatures in the tunnel. This had two negative effects including compromising the health of competitors, and creating issues if the temperature in the tunnel was higher during training than in competition, which resulted in a change of air density. A recommendation was made to have a maximum temperature of 35 degrees, and that the thermostat be placed on the mat, with an external display.

VOTE: to include in FCEAD, a statement regarding this maximum temperature and the requirement to have an external display showing temperature. With 0 votes against, and 0 abstentions, this addition to the FCEAD was adopted.

Ms. Miasnikov expressed gratitude that T&S is developing a medical assessment form, and stated that the Committee supports the use of this form.

16.2 INDOOR SKYDIVING COMPETITIONS

Ms. MIASNIKOV summarised preparations for the 2024 World Cup of Indoor Skydiving in Macau, China. Note: a presentation regarding the update to the Macau competition was made by Ms. Amy Chia during the Open Meeting. This presentation is appended to these minutes. (Appendix 13)

For the 2025 Competitions, the Committee was in favour of splitting the Bids (AE/DY from FS Indoor). In SC5, an addition would have to be made regarding the duration of the competition, as a combined

competition would be a minimum of 3 competition days and a bid with the single events would require only 2 competition days.

She indicated that there were two bids on the table to host the 5th World Indoor Skydiving Championships in 2025. One from USA (ParacleteXP for FS 4way,8way and VFS) and one from Belgium (Airspace for Indoor Solo Freestyle and DY). Note: These bids were presented at the Open Meeting and are appended to these minutes. (Appendix 14 and 15)

VOTE: to accept the Bid from USA (ParacleteXP) to host the 5th FAI World Indoor Skydiving Championships (Formation Skydiving). With 0 votes against, and 0 abstentions, this bid was awarded to USA (ParacleteXP).

VOTE: to accept the Bid from Belgium (Airspace) to host the 5th FAI World Indoor Skydiving Championships (Solo Freestyle and Dynamic). With 0 votes against and 0 abstentions, the bid was awarded to Belgium, (Airspace).

17 CANOPY FORMATION

Rina GALLO, Committee Chair, presented the report of the Canopy Formation Committee.

17.1 CANOPY FORMATION COMPETITION RULES

Ms. GALLO indicated that the rule changes implemented for 2023 had not been tested yet as CF only has competition every two (2) years. Therefore, the Committee will assess these changes at the upcoming World Championships in Prostějov. She summarized the CF rule change proposals, as follows:

CF Competition Rules:

4.9.1 – change to indicate that the rules regarding video could be found in SC5 (5.2.6).

4.9.2 – wording change regarding how CF Rotation teams should indicate the round number.

NOTE: Ronald OVERDIJK questioned what the consequences would be if the team does not indicate the round number. Ms. GALLO responded that the team would get a zero for that round, and will adjust the wording in the rules to reflect this.

4.9.3 to 4.9.9 – deleted, and moved to SC5 (5.2.6)

5.3.2 – removal of the penalty point in the Rotation Event.

SC5:

3.3.2 – For Largest Formation, Large Formation Sequential and Full Break Large Formation Sequential records, adding that the instep of the foot does not need to be marked with a tape.

5.2.6 – Video Transmission and Recording rules (moved from CR's 4.9.3), with the addition of pt.12a which references CF CR's 4.9.2.

VOTE: To approve the Canopy Formation Rule changes (including the proposed wording change to 4.9.2), and the changes relating to CF in Section 5, as presented. With 0 votes against and 0 abstentions, the rule changes were adopted.

The Final approved rule change document is appended to these minutes (Appendix 16)

17.2 CANOPY FORMATION COMPETITIONS

Ms. GALLO reported that the 21st FAI World Canopy Formation Championships would be held in Prostějov, Czech Republic. She reminded the plenary that since competitions are only held every two years, there is nothing scheduled for 2025 and no bids have been received for 2026. She asked the Delegates to consider Canopy Formation when preparing future bids.

She ended by thanking the members of the Committee.

18 2026 BID FOR FS, AE, SP AND CP

Gillian RAYNER indicated that a late bid had been received from Skydive Arizona, USA to host the World Championships of FS, AE, SP and CP in 2026. She explained that the bid was not presented at the Open Meeting because it could not be ascertained if there would be a 2/3 majority to add it to the agenda. She then asked for Plenary approval to add the bid to the agenda and if a 2/3 majority was achieved, the bid would be presented. Some discussion from the floor was then held including a statement from Gernot RITTENSCHÖBER that the relevant Committees should give advice regarding whether or not they support the bid, and without knowledge of the contents of the bid, they cannot do so. Elisabet MIKAELSSON clarified that the vote was only to put the Bid on the Agenda and asked that a Secret Vote be taken.

SECRET VOTE: To have the Skydive AZ Bid for the 2026 World Championships of FS, AE, SP and CP put on the agenda. With 21 votes in favour, 19 votes against, and 2 abstentions, a 2/3 majority was not achieved, so the Bid was NOT put on the agenda.

19 CANOPY PILOTING

Alberto MARTIN PARACUELLOS, Committee Chair, presented the report of the CP Committee.

19.1 CANOPY PILOTING COMPETITION RULES

Mr. MARTIN PARACUELLOS indicated that the rule changes had been discussed during the Open Meeting and he would answer any questions prior to the vote to accept them.

He said that the USA delegate had asked that four proposed rule changes (regarding course width) be voted on separately (Definition of “gate”, Addendum A.2, graphical changes in Addendum F and the changes to 3.4.4) and stated these would be voted upon later in the presentation. He then asked the plenary to vote on the other rule changes individually, as summarised below:

New Event - Full Drag Distance (alt. distance course) with new rules, course description and graphics.
VOTE: to accept the new Full Drag Distance rules. With 2 votes against, and 1 abstention, the rules were adopted.

CP Freestyle Proposed Rule Changes:

Definitions – Landing: rewording and removal of No Water Drag

3.4.4 – rewording to assure that the event must take place in 7 days and not less.

3.4.5 – addition allowing competitors to train after the standard events have finished and before the freestyle championship has started.

5.4.1 – deleting the words “of two days” from the rule

5.4.2 – removal of the last three sentences as they do not apply to Freestyle.

5.5.1 – reworded so the jump order is based on the DOD (from lowest to highest)

5.5.2 – removed (ref.5.5.1)

5.5.5 – rewording (from “jump order” to “passes”) to match current procedures.

5.10.1 – small wording changes (better English)

6.1.3.1 – addition (failing to demonstrate the minimum requirement of the move)

6.1.3.2 – rewording of “Move Variation Failure”, for clarification

6.2.1 – rewording (from “group 1-4” to “below 5.0” to reflect wording in current rules)

6.2.4 – adding a more precise deadline for when the drama sheets must be submitted

6.2.5 – clarifying the judge’s scoring procedure for presentation judges and technical judges

6.2.6 – rewording regarding penalties (better English)

6.3.1 – removing the words regarding scoring the “approach” as the approach is not evaluated

6.3.2 – removing the second sentence regarding the “technical aspect” (wording was duplicated)

6.3.3 – rewording regarding the announcement of the next expected competitor/moves (better wording)

7.1.5 to 7.1.7 – removing wording (not applicable to CP Freestyle)

7.1.7.1 – removed (redundant wording)

7.2 – adjustment of point deductions for the landings (was too strict)

7.2.1 – renumbered to include the previous 7.3 (mistake examples) into 7.2 Point Guidelines.

7.2.1.4 – addition (adding qualitative guidelines)
7.3.5 – addition requiring the moves to be in a straight line
7.4 – clarification of the Technical Score
7.4.1 to 7.4.3 – rewording regarding Degree of Difficulty
8.1.1, 8.1.3, 8.2.1,9.2 - rewording regarding use of Video, to apply to CP Freestyle only
8.1.2 – rewording regarding use of Video, better wording
8.2.2 – deleted since the video review form is not used, and replaced with new 8.2.2 regarding selection of the Video Review Panel.
8.2.5.2 – changed wording of voting procedure to simplify the wording and procedure
8.2.6 – remove wording regarding the video review form (not used)
8.2.7 – removing text “if necessary”
8.3.7.3 – removing last sentence regarding video challenge fee (redundant)
A.7 – Replace wording of the entire rule (better wording and grammar)
VOTE: to accept the proposed changes to the Canopy Piloting Freestyle Competition Rules. With 0 votes against and 0 abstentions, the rule changes were adopted.

Addendum B – Description of Freestyle Moves

The minimum requirements for Moves were modified to avoid MF (especially for less difficult moves)

VOTE: to accept the proposed changes to Addendum B of the CP Freestyle Rules. With 0 votes against and 0 abstentions, the changes to Addendum B were adopted.

Addendum C – Routine Description Sheet

The Routine Description sheet was modified to be more user-friendly.

VOTE: to accept the proposed change to Addendum C of the CP Freestyle Rules. With 0 votes against and 0 abstentions, the changes to Addendum C were adopted.

Addendum H – Fusion and Sequential DOD's

New addendum. This was presented last year for consideration.

VOTE: to accept the addition of the new document, Fusion and Sequential DOD's (Addendum D) to the CP Freestyle Rules. With 0 votes against and 0 abstentions, the addition of Addendum D was adopted.

CP Standard Proposed Rule Changes:

Discussion: The USA opposed rule changes, regarding Course Width. Albert BERCHTOLD (USA) commented that the measurement has always been from the centrepont of the marker, and many drop zone operators around the world have invested money into putting in permanent courses. He also said that this measurement is variable due to movement of buoys. Mr. MARTIN PARACUELLOS stated that the interpretation of the rule was wrong (because of the position of the markers in the drawing) and wanted future builders of ponds to make the measurement as defined in the new rule. The response from Sean HILL (SDAZ) was that there was not a misinterpretation, because the pond was built according to the drawing.

Ron MIASNIKOV (ISR) suggested a waiver be put in place for existing ponds, which was agreed by Mr. MARTIN PARACUELLOS, could be a possibility and suggested adding a sentence, stating that any ponds constructed before 2024 would be measured from the centrepont of the buoy and would be given a waiver. He stated that the Committee would come up with the correct wording. Larry HILL (SDAZ) described the precision of the anchor points in the Eloy pond and agreed with having a waiver. Gill RAYNER had a concern about how long it would be before all competitors could train on the same kind of pond. Mike TEAGUE (RSA) commented that an incorrect measurement of the pond would go to the jury and they would make a decision. Mr. BERCHTOLD disagreed with the suggestion of a waiver as there would still be a difference in pond widths, depending on when the course was built, and continued by stating that having specific wording takes away the Jury's ability to make a decision. He suggested the wording of the rule not be changed. A secret vote was then requested by Rina GALLO (CAN).

SECRET VOTE: To accept all proposed rule changes regarding the Course Width Description in the Canopy Formation Competition Rules. With 18 votes against, 8 abstentions and 15 votes in favour, the proposed rule changes regarding Course Width were not adopted.

CP Standard rule change proposals (cont'd)

3.4.4 – Change of competition schedule to read as follows: “The event will run for a period of seven days....”

Discussion: Albert BERCHTOLD (USA) was against this rule change and requested a separate vote for the changes regarding competition schedule. He argued that at previous competitions the competitions were completed as early as 2 or 3 days, and with this rule change, hosts (ultimately competitors) would be obliged to pay for expenses (judges, transport, hotels, etc.) for days that might not be used. He continued that with the original rule, the host has flexibility. Mr. MARTIN PARACUELLOS countered that this rule change proposal comes from the Committee and the competitors, that they do not want to do six jumps in one day and believed competitors (especially someone travelling a great distance) would rather spend the extra day. Mr. BERCHTOLD returned with the comment that six jumps is not a lot for competitors.

Ronald OVERDIJK (R&R) mentioned that the rule change is being considered because of what happened at one event (last year). He stated that the shortened competition and hurried work of the Judges was determined by the Meet Director and Chief Judge, and not by the rules. For example, the CJ could have asked for the competition to be slowed down if the judges were overworked. Mr. MARTIN PARACUELLOS stated that the intent of the original rule was to have the event in seven days. Elisabet MIKAELSSON (SWE) commented that no matter how many days are scheduled, there is always the possibility of scheduling six jumps per day if there is bad weather.

SECRET VOTE: To accept to implement the proposed rule change (3.4.4). With 22 votes against, 3 abstentions and 16 votes in favour, this rule change proposal was NOT adopted.

Note: the presentation and vote for acceptance of the remainder of the CP Competition Rules was taken after the Competitions portion of the meeting, but is noted here for continuity.

The remaining CP rule change proposals were presented, as follows:

3.4.5 – additional wording to clarify that competitors may jump during the OPP

5.4.1 – removing the words “of two days” (redundant)

5.5.5 – wording change (from “jump order” to passes) to match current procedure

5.10.1 – adjust wording re: winds (better wording)

6.5.1 – additional wording regarding water drag, to better define where it is judged

6.6.3.1 & 6.6.3.2– removing “G5” in Max Distance and replacing with “50m”

6.6.3.4 – Addition for this rule to have a more simplified judging procedure for short landings

7.1.7.2 – removal of the words “by two different scorers”. Two scorers are unnecessary.

9.3.4 – Changing wording from “Combined” to “Overall” to align with WS and S&A.

A.5 – Rewording of requirement of “safety zone” (better wording and grammar)

B.1.7 – Additional rule: requirement to have a larger landing area at the end of the Speed course

Addendum F – New Drawing to include the 5 meter safety zone.

Addendum G – Eliminate DN (Down Landing) signal and merge it with the CD (Canopy Down) signal.

VOTE: to accept the remaining proposed Canopy Piloting Rule Changes not yet voted upon. With 0 votes against and 0 abstentions, these rule changes were approved.

The Final approved rule change document is appended to these minutes (Appendix 17)

19.2 CANOPY PILOTING AND CANOPY PILOTING FREESTYLE COMPETITIONS

The CP Chair reported that the CP competition which was held in Eloy in October, 2023 was well organised, but it had lower attendance. In addition, there were also several competitions held in Europe, with good attendance.

He continued to say that for 2024, the bid to host the 10th World Canopy Piloting Championships and 4th World Canopy Piloting Freestyle Championships had been awarded to Pretoria, South Africa. Mr. MARTIN-PARACUELLOS reminded the Plenary that an increase of the entry fees was agreed to at the last plenary, and presented these changes, which were included in the updated bid. (Appendix 18)

VOTE: To accept the updated Bid for 2024 WC of CP and CP Freestyle, which includes changes to the Entry Fees. With 0 votes against and 0 abstentions, this updated Bid document was accepted.

During the open meetings, a bid had been presented by Skydive Arizona, to host the 12th FAI World Cup of Canopy Piloting and the 3rd FAI World Cup of Canopy Piloting Freestyle, as well as the European Championships for those events. Mr. MARTIN PARACUELLOS shared that the CP Committee did not support the Bid in the hope that another bid would be received from Europe. Sean HILL, (SDAZ) stated that if the Bid is accepted, they would work towards moving the competition to October. Doris MERZ (SUI) stated that if a bid is on the table, it should be voted upon.

VOTE: To accept the Bid from Skydive Arizona, Eloy, USA to host the 12th FAI World Cup of Canopy Piloting and the 3rd FAI World Cup of Canopy Piloting Freestyle in 2025 with the proposed date change. With 17 votes against, 0 abstentions and 24 votes in favour, the Bid from Skydive Arizona was accepted. Congratulations to Skydive Arizona.

In closing, Mr. MARTIN PARACUELLOS indicated that he would be leaving the committee and thanked his fellow Committee Members and advisors for the extraordinary job they have done over the years. He said that Mike TEAGUE (RSA) will take over as Chair, with Dr. Rainer HOENLE (GER) as Deputy Chair.

20 SPEED SKYDIVING

Gernot RITTENSCHÖBER, Committee Chair, presented the report on behalf of the Speed Skydiving Committee. He stated that the 2023 World Cup of Speed Skydiving in Prostejov, Czech Republic went well. He then reported that the composition of the Committee was unchanged, other than the addition of one member, Sara LUNDQVIST (SWE).

20.1 SPEED SKYDIVING COMPETITION RULES

Mr. RITTENSCHÖBER explained that the proposed rule changes had been presented during the open meeting. These included some minor housekeeping improvements and the two significant changes which related to the composition of delegation (adding female) and adding an Alternate to the National Team. The proposed rule changes are summarised as follows:

2.2 – additional Definition (AGL), moved from 2.3 (resulting in adjustment of numbering)

3.3 – rewording of the Objective of the Event (clarification)

4.1.9 – adding “Chief” Judge (clarification)

5.5.1 – addition of reference

7.1.1 – additional wording to specify “Champions” and “Winners”

8.1 – Increasing number of competitors in a delegation to include three places for competitors of the gender minority.

8.2.3 – new rule allowing for an (alternate) to the National Team, and rules around this.

VOTE: to accept the proposed Speed Skydiving rule changes as presented. With 0 votes against and 0 abstentions, the rules changes were adopted.

The Final approved rule change document is appended to these minutes (Appendix 19)

20.2 SPEED SKYDIVING COMPETITIONS

Gernot RITTENSCHÖBER reminded the plenary that, according to the Internal Regulations, the Committees are asked to make recommendations regarding the approval of any bids received. Since his NAC (Austria) had a bid to host the World Cup of Speed Skydiving on the table, he asked Deputy Chair, Elisabet MIKAELSSON to make the recommendation on behalf of the Committee. She announced that based on location, cost and number of competition days, the Committee favoured that the bid be awarded to Austria. The Bid is appended to these minutes. (Appendix 20)

Vote: to award the Bid to host the 6th World Cup of Speed Skydiving to either Austria or the Netherlands. With 24 votes in favour of Austria, and 14 votes in favour of the Netherlands, Austria has been approved to host the WC of Speed Skydiving in 2025.

During the vote, Mr. RITTENSCHÖBER showed a video of a speed jump, to indicate the speeds these competitors are achieving (up to 529 kph).

21 WINGSUIT FLYING

Mike PENNOCK, Committee Chair, presented the Wingsuit Flying Committee Report. He summarised that this was his first term as Chair and indicated that there were no changes to the Committee for this year. He thanked the committee and added that they were a well-rounded team of competitors, judges and technicians.

21.1 WINGSUIT FLYING COMPETITION RULES

Mr. Pennock presented the proposed rule changes, summarised as follows:

SC5 – adjust the definition of “Wingsuit” (to allow it to be fingertip to fingertip)
Addendum D – added with guidelines for suit measurement instructions (with diagram)
Definitions – add “Equipment” definition
1.2 – add the requirement of the ground reference points to be added to Bulletin 2
2.2 – adjust the validation window to begin the SEP check 10 seconds after exit
3.2.2 – add to indicate that no “modifications” or “add-ons” are allowed during competition
3.2.10 – add wording to indicate the consequences of not returning the competition PLD
5.7.2 – add a penalty for a suit modification being detected.
6.1.3 – video rules aligned with FS, AE and CP
8.5.1.5 – align with SP regarding the addition of an “alternate” to the National Team
8.5.2.1 – Correction of the wording regarding medals
SC5 – add text regarding video rules
SC5 – (Records) considerable update to large formation record rules and adding Vertical and Sequential records.

VOTE: to accept the proposed Wingsuit Flying rule changes as presented. With 0 votes against and 0 abstentions, the rules were adopted.

The Final approved rule change document is appended to these minutes (Appendix 21)

21.2 WINGSUIT FLYING COMPETITIONS

Mr. PENNOCK reported that the World Cup of Wingsuit Flying held in August, 2023 in Prostějov, Czech Republic was successful. It had an increased number of Acro teams, and many records were set. For 2024, the 5th FAI World Wingsuit Flying Championships will be held in Beaufort, North Carolina, and unfortunately, as yet no bids have been received for 2025. He invited organisers to submit bids.

22 JUDGES COMMITTEE

Zeljko TANASKOVIC, Committee Chair, presented the report of the Judges Committee. He named the members of the Committee, and included a proposal for a new member, Thi Bich Van Ha (FRA)

22.1 JUDGES COMMITTEE RULE CHANGES

He indicated that the proposed rule changes which had been presented at the open meeting were unchanged except for one modification to the rule 6.2.3.3 regarding disability. The proposed rule changes to SC5 were summarised as follows:

6.2.3.3. – addition: to accommodate disabled candidates by giving additional time for tests.

6.2.4 – addition: allowing CJT to ask any other judge to verify calculations of results of tests

- 6.1.2 – wording change regarding procedure when a judge passes a training course.
- 3.1.2(2)a – housekeeping items and clarification regarding who can judge competition records

Note: Mr. Jim Rees, USA Delegate, requested that a separate vote be taken regarding 3.1.2(2)a as the USA does not support it. They believe that it is not in the best interest of judge training as well as record interests for the competitors.

VOTE: The plenary was asked if anyone was opposed to the proposed rule change to 3.1.2(2). With 2 votes against, and 1 abstention, the rule change was adopted.

Rule change summary (cont'd)

- 4.6.1 – wording change. From “WSC/WISC” to “FCE”
- 6.2 – wording added regarding the requirements to attend a Judge Training course
- 6.9 – additional wording regarding judge related media

VOTE: to accept the rule change proposals as presented, other than 3.1.2(2)a which had already been voted upon. With 0 votes against, and 0 abstentions the rule changes were adopted.

The final approved rule changes will be appended to these minutes. (Appendix 22)

22.2 CHIEF JUDGES FOR 2024

Mr. TANASKOVIC presented the list of Proposed Chief Judges for 2024, as follows:

Discipline	Proposed CJ:
IFS/VFS	Peta Holmes – New Zealand (approved by IBD)
DY	Kristian Moxnes – Norway (approved by IBD)
ISF	Thi Bich Van Ha – France (approved by IBD)
S&A	Maruiz Puchala - Poland
CP	Zeljko Tanskovic - Sweden
FS	Pekka Salmela - Finland
CF	Natasha Higman - UK
AE	Tamara Vorst - Netherlands
WS	Mike Pennock - Netherlands
SP	Arnold Hohenegger - Austria

VOTE: To accept the proposed Chief Judges for 2024. With 0 votes against and 0 abstentions the list of Chief Judges was approved.

Mr. TANASKOVIC ended by reminding the delegates to check that the names on the Nominated Judges list is correct, and to contact himself or Rina GALLO with any concerns. (Appendix 23)

23 TECHNICAL AND SAFETY COMMITTEE

Istvan SIPOS, Committee Chair, presented the report of the Technical and Safety Committee. He indicated that in addition to the annual reports normally submitted (2022 Safety Report and Parachuting Aircraft Incidents 2023), there were additional reports/proposals this year, all of which

had been presented at the open meeting, and included the use of restraints in aircraft, the medical protocol, and Digital Certificate of Proficiency (COP)

Regarding the, medical protocol. Mr. SIPOS gave credit to one of the Committee members, Dr. Stephan KRAMER (USA), who researched and developed this protocol. He then indicated that a small change was proposed after the open meeting presentation, and that was to leave the task of implementing the protocol to the FAI Controller, rather than the CJ and Meet Director. He also shared that the medical protocol proposal is supported by the Indoor Committee and approved by CIMP (letter included in the agenda). He informed the Plenary that the Committee would like to implement this and then evaluate the form and procedure, and make modifications as necessary.

Mr. SIPOS spoke about the digital COP, and that the Committee has submitted a budget request to develop this.

Finally, Mr. SIPOS proposed a change to the T&S Committee. Alberto MARTIN PARACUELLOS resigned from the Committee and Liam McNULTY would take over the position of Committee Chair. Also, Ms. Sandy NIEUWENHOVEN (AUS) would join the Committee as a new member. He added that the Committee would then have a representative from each continent.

Discussion:

Regarding the proposal regarding the medical protocol, the ISC President stated that the proposal should indicate where the form would be found, and how to implement it. Ronald OVERDIJK replied that it would be in SC5 if accepted by the Plenary. Liam McNULTY clarified that there were in fact two forms, and they are part of the protocol, and it is the protocol that we should accept or reject. He continued to say that the protocol would be optional, but if it is used, it should be part of the FCEAD, Organiser Agreement and FAI Controller Handbook. Ms. RAYNER countered that she was concerned that if it is in the rules, it must be used and could not be an option.

Robert Feldman, (Appointed Observer for USA) stated that as an attorney for aviation law, he had looked at the form and believed it was incomplete. He stated that there was the possibility of favouritism, there would be a possible lawsuit in the case of a misdiagnosis and there could be the possibility of delayed symptoms. He agreed that a protocol should be developed, but the forms should come later.

Randy CONNELL (USA) believed that decisions regarding a medical situation should be between the FAI Controller, Meet Director and Chief Judge. Mr. SIPOS replied that all three parties might not all be present at the same time.

John SMYTH (UK) believed there could be severe consequences to the official and the FAI, and the protocol needed more work. Mr. McNULTY countered that if a person takes on the responsibility of FAI Controller, they should be prepared to take the consequences of any decision they make. Ron MIASNIKOV (ISR) stated that our responsibility is to keep everyone safe.

Albert BERCHTOLD (USA) suggested that FAI's legal counsel review the protocol and determine the potential liabilities. Ms. RAYNER replied that it had been shown to CIMP.

Alberto MARTIN PARACUELLOS (SPA) stated that the document is being misinterpreted, and pointed out that after going through the checklist and referring the competitor to a doctor, it would be the doctor who authorises a competitor to continue jumping. Also, sending a competitor to the doctor would not result in a lawsuit. Mike TEAGUE (RSA) stated that at the moment, the FAI Controller has the right to stop a competitor from jumping and Ms. RAYNER stated that athletes are under the authority of their NAC, so the team leader or manager should be involved. Liam McNULTY pointed out that a person with a head injury cannot make a decision about whether or not to jump again and Marylou LAUGHLIN (USA) suggested that the FAI consider getting insurance for these situations.

John SMYTH inquired if FAI had received legal advice about this and Ms. RAYNER confirmed that FAI had not taken legal advice. Rainer (Exi) HOENLE(GER) stated that we should use the document as it is and work with it.

It was proposed by Sweden, and seconded by USA that the Injury protocol be either adopted as proposed by the T&S Committee, or deferred to FAI for legal review. (It was confirmed that everyone understood the question and agreed to this method of voting.)

VOTE: to either adopt the Injury Protocol proposal, or to defer it to FAI for legal review. With 24 votes in favour of deferral to FAI, 17 votes in favour of adopting the proposal, and 0 abstentions, the proposal was not adopted and will be deferred to FAI for legal review.

The 2022 Safety Survey and 2023 Parachuting Aircraft Incidents Reports will be appended to these Minutes (Appendix 24 & 25)

24 RULES AND REGULATIONS

Ronald OVERDIJK, Committee Chair presented the rule change proposals from the Rules and Regulations Committee. He stated that since the open meeting there were two updates.

SC5:

The first was to propose to allow a CP female competition record during competition, even though there is not a female category, as FS has done. The second was that there would not be a Mondial for 2026, and asked that the new Bureau discuss this and make a recommendation for next year of how to handle the situation.

Mr. OVERDIJK then presented a proposal regarding rule (5.3) protest time (when the 2-hour time frame is in effect). He proposed that the 2-hour time frame is only applicable when the competitors are on site, and able to prepare a written protest. He suggested that a good Meet Director has the freedom to schedule the competition time to include this two-hour timeframe, and if not, the protest time continues the next day.

Mr. OVERDIJK then spoke about the USA's Proposal to amend the definition of Distinct Team (3.3.11 - records), and shared that the Committee did not support this proposal as this new wording deviates from the idea of what constitutes a record, and that a record should be set only once. He briefly described the situation of why this rule was originally established (for example, if two identical records were set and it was not possible to determine which jump was made first.) This would not occur anymore with today's technology. Mark SZULMAYER (AUS) asked if this referred to only performance records or performance and competition. Mr. OVERDIJK clarified that it only applied to performance (simultaneous) records. Randy CONNELL (USA) identified that there was a language problem, and that the USA was not referring to simultaneous records, but to joint records.

VOTE: to approve the USA proposal to amend SC5 (3.3.11). With 32 votes against and 2 abstentions this proposal was not adopted.

Internal Regulations:

Proposal to add to the IR's (Annex 6) that during registration for a Plenary Meeting, there be four options for payment packages. These were: a) Full attendance with hotel, b) Full attendance without hotel, c) Plenary only with hotel and d) Plenary only without hotel. All options would include lunches and breaks.

Fee Schedule:

Regarding the Bid Application Fee, the Committee proposed to have one flat fee of 800chf per bid, regardless of the number of disciplines/events. It will then be considered part of the deposit, which would be returned after the event.

VOTE: to accept all rule changes proposed by the Rules and Regulations Committee for all Handbooks, FCEAD, Fee Schedule, IR's and SC5. With 0 votes against and 0 abstentions, the rule changes were adopted.

The R&R Rule Change Document will be appended to these minutes (Appendix 26)

END OF DAY ONE.

FEBRUARY 4, 2024 – Day 2 of Plenary

Roll Call: The roll call was conducted by Vera ASQUITH, Recording Secretary. The result of the roll call for Sunday, February 4th indicated that 41 delegates (including proxies) were represented.

25 FINANCE

Natasha HIGMAN, on behalf of Gail Bradley, Finance Secretary, presented the Proposed Budget for 2024 and Draft Budget for 2025.

25.1 PROPOSED BUDGET FOR 2024 AND DRAFT BUDGET FOR 2025

2024 Proposed Budget:

Ms. HIGMAN reported on the 2024 Budget, that the amount of 52,921chf would be carried forward from the surplus of 2023. To enable her to prepare the budget, she had received input from Committee Chairs regarding numbers of expected competitors at this year's competitions, which totalled 763. With the carry-forward of the surplus, ISC is in a position to have a balanced budget with a Sanction Fee of 115chf. The projected income for 2024 is 140,666chf.

Ms. HIGMAN continued with a review of incurred costs which amounted to 147,227chf. She explained that official's travel are the biggest expenses and are difficult to estimate as they vary from year to year depending on travel costs. Three requests were received from Committees (including T&S – 4000chf, CP – 600chf, JC – 2000chf). The Finance Working Group believed that these are for long-term projects and would be considered investments, so they would like to access the Reserve Fund for this. After consultation with FAI, it was recommended that ISC submit a deficit budget and include this amount (6,600chf). In summary the expenses forecast would be the 147,227chf (expenses) + 6,600chf (investment spend).

Vote: to accept the 2024 proposed Budget. With 0 votes against and 0 abstentions, the budget was approved.

2025 Draft Budget:

Natasha HIGMAN noted that it is difficult to project a budget when as it is unknown what competitions will be held and how many competitors will attend. She continued that if we retain a Sanction Fee (SF) of 115 chf, the income would be relatively low, and it would not be known if there would be a surplus to carry forward. She projected that for 2025 the expenses were based on information we have now, so total expenses would be similar to this year plus inflation. She indicated that if we keep the SF at 115 chf in 2025, we would have a deficit of 52,000, which matched the surplus of last year. If nothing was to be carried forward from 2024 into 2025, to be able to have a balanced budget for 2025 we would require a SF of 175 chf (which could be adjusted at next year's plenary). She reminded the Plenary that they have decided to flex the SF to have a balanced budget.

She noted that the 2025 budget will change, however we are required by FAI to submit a balanced budget for 2025. Ron MIASNIKOV suggested that we have a SF of 120chf this year and 120chf next year. Ms. HIGMAN replied that the challenge is that we have to put in a budget that balances to 0. Also, FAI will not give money from reserves if we have a surplus. Ms. HIGMAN again explained that the Reserves could only be used for investments and not for day-to-day operating costs

VOTE: to approve the Draft Budget for 2025, with a Sanction Fee of 175chf. With 1 vote against and 0 abstentions, the draft budget was approved.

The 2024 proposed budget and 2025 Draft budget are appended to these minutes (Appendix 27)

Ms HIGMAN then shared the trends forecast which showed the implication of maintaining a SF of 115CHF. She then shared the names of the Working Group Members, and indicated that Gail BRADLEY, (AUS) will assist in the transition.

26 LIST OF APPROVED AND QUALIFIED JURORS

Elisabet MIKAELSSON, ISC 1st Vice President, presented the Updated list of Approved and Qualified Jurors. She said no one needs to renew this year, but reminded those on the jury list to check the list on the website to see when they need renew.

Vote: to approve the list of approved and qualified jurors. With 0 votes against and 0 abstentions, the list was approved.

26.1 FAI CONTROLLERS AND JURORS FOR THE UPCOMING COMPETITIONS

Ms. MIKAELSSON then shared the list of proposed Jury Members and FAI Controllers for FCEs in 2024, noting that there were some changes from last year, as some people had to resign for personal reasons.

2024:

Macau - (Indoor)	FAI Controller: Gill Rayner (replaced Lika Borzova) Jury President: Mark Szulmayer Jurors: Jim Rees (on site), Arnold Hohenegger (remote), Alberto Martin Paracuellos (reserve)
Prostejov - (S&A/CF)	FAI Controller: Liam McNulty (replaced Marylou Laughlin) Jury President: Rainer (Exi) Hoenle Jury: Zeljko Tanaskovic (on site), Gill Rayner (remote) Rina Gallo (reserve)
Beaufort: - (FS/AE/SP/WS)	FAI Controller: Alberto Martin Paracuellos (replaced Ronald Overdijk) Jury President: Rina Gallo Jury: Ron Miasnikov, Gernot Rittenschober (remote) Exi Hoenle (reserve)
Pretoria: - (CP)	FAI Controller: Jasper Williams Jury President: Elisabet Mikaelsson Jury: Albert Bertchold (on-site), Natasha Higman (remote) Vera Asquith (Reserve)

VOTE: to approve the FAI Controllers and Jurors for 2024, as presented. With 0 votes against and 0 abstentions, they were approved.

2025 – FAI Controllers:

North Carolina (Indoor FS) -	Rina Gallo
Charlerois, (Indoor AE/DY) -	Lika Borsova
Teuge (FS/AE) -	Trude Sviggum
Austria (Speed) -	Bert Ham
Eloy (CP) -	Mike Teague

VOTE: to approve the FAI Controllers for 2025, as presented. With 0 votes against and 0 abstentions, they were approved.

The List of approved FAI Controllers and Jurors are appended to these minutes. (Appendix 28 & 29)

27 AWARDS

27.1 FAI GOLD PARACHUTING MEDAL

Lidia KOSK (POL) presented the proposal on behalf of the Federation of Aeronautical Sports of Ukraine to nominate Igor Tiorlo as the recipient of the FAI Gold Parachuting Medal for 2024.

Vote: to award the FAI Gold Parachuting Medal for 2024 to Igor Tiorlo. With 1 vote against and 0 abstentions, Igor Tiorlo from Ukraine is now the recipient of the FAI Gold Parachuting Medal.

Delegates were reminded that they may nominate an individual to receive an award. The awards include the FAI Gold Parachuting Medal, the Leonardo da Vinci Diploma (up to 5 per year) and the Faust Vrancic Medal. FAI General Awards include the FAI Gold Air Medal (Statutes 10.2), Sabiha Gokcen Medal (Statutes 10.8) or the FAI Silver medal (Statutes 10.9) as well as the Paul Tissandier Diploma and the FAI Group Diploma of Honour.

28 OTHER BUSINESS

Jim REES (USA) thanked the Plenary for awarding the CP World Cup in 2025 to Eloy and reminded the Plenary that, during the Open Meeting, he had spoken in response to the Chief Judge's report (from the 2023 World Cup in Eloy). He then asked permission of the Plenary to include a letter of response in order to set the record straight on two of the more severe criticisms of the system. He continued by saying that the final scores were submitted by the scoring system to the ISC Results website, the results were signed by the CJ and approved by the Jury. He then clarified why, as a USA delegate, he was defending a Scoring System that had been used in the US for many years.

Alberto MARTIN PARACUELLOS asked where the letter was going, and Mr. REES responded that the point of speaking was to speak to the Plenary to enable the letter to be appended to the minutes. Mr. MARTIN PARACUELLOS stated that to be fair, he felt it necessary to include a document prepared by the Chief Judge where she points out where the problems were with the system. Mr. Rees replied that he had no objection to that document being included in the minutes.

The letter of response from USA and Scoring System Recommendation document are appended to these minutes (Appendix 30 & 31)

29 ELECTIONS AND APPOINTMENTS

29.1 CONFIRMATION OF COMMITTEES AND WORKING GROUPS

Elisabet Mikaelsson presented the list of committees and working groups for 2024 and indicated that there are some changes due to people resigning. She also pointed out that each Committee has an ISC email address.

29.2 BUREAU ELECTIONS

The ISC President reiterated that all votes would be by secret ballot.

29.2.1 Election of ISC President

As the only candidate standing for position of ISC President, Alberto MARTIN PARACUELLOS addressed the plenary with a presentation of his qualifications and his agenda and goals as incoming ISC President.

No Vote Necessary: With no other candidates willing to stand for this position, Alberto MARTIN PARACUELLOS was awarded the position of President of the ISC Bureau, by Acclamation. Congratulations were extended to Mr. MARTIN PARACUELLOS.

26.2.2 Election of ISC First Vice President

Two candidates stood for the position of 1st Vice President - Rina GALLO (CAN) and Peter PFALZGRAF (GER).

VOTE: to elect the First Vice President of the ISC Bureau. With 32 votes for Ms. GALLO and 8 votes for Mr. PFALZGRAF, Ms. Rina GALLO was awarded the position of 1st Vice President of the ISC Bureau. Congratulations were extended to Ms. GALLO.

26.2.3 Election of two ISC Vice Presidents

Six candidates presented a statement introducing themselves to the delegates and why they stood for election for the position of Vice-President - Jim REES (USA), Ani STAMENOVA (BUL), Ron MIASNIKOV (ISR), Omar ALHEGELAN (KSA), John SMYTH (GBR), Peter PFALZGRAF (GER).

VOTE: to elect two (2) Vice Presidents of the ISC Bureau. With 21 votes for Mr. REES, and 19 votes for Mr. ALHEGELAN, Mr. Jim REES and Mr. Omar ALHEGELAN were awarded the position of Vice-President of the ISC Bureau. Congratulations were extended to Mr. REES and Mr. ALHEGELAN.

(Note: other candidates received the following number of votes: Ms. Stamenova – 12, Ms. Miasnikov - 14, Mr. Smyth – 6, Mr. Pfalzgraf – 5).

26.2.4 Election of ISC Finance Secretary

No Vote Necessary: With no other candidates willing to stand for this position, Natasha Higman was awarded the position of Finance Secretary of the ISC Bureau, by Acclamation. Congratulations were extended to Ms. HIGMAN.

26.2.5 Election of ISC Recording Secretary

No Vote Necessary: With no other candidates willing to stand for this position, Vera ASQUITH was awarded the position of Recording Secretary of the ISC Bureau, by Acclamation. Congratulations were extended to Ms. ASQUITH.

The Plenary were advised that the new Bureau would take office at the end of the meeting.

30 NEW ISC PRESIDENT SPEECH

Alberto Martin Paracuellos stated that his first order of business as elected president was to nominate Gillian RAYNER as President of Honour, as she has done an extraordinary job.

VOTE: to approve Gillian RAYNER as President of Honour. With 0 votes against and 0 abstentions, Ms. RAYNER has been approved as ISC President of Honour.

31 75TH FAI ISC PLENARY MEETING

A roll call indicated that there were 39 NACs present (required 26 for 2/3 majority, 20 for Absolute majority)

31.1 VOTE NOT TO MEET IN THE VICINITY OF THE FAI SECRETARIAT

Gill RAYNER then reminded the Plenary that a vote must be taken about whether or not to hold the 2025 ISC Plenary in the vicinity of Lausanne, Switzerland (per IR 3.4.3). She provided a presentation

of what can be offered in Lausanne, including the hotels and meeting rooms that could be used, and at what costs. She reminded the plenary that a simple majority was required.

Vote question: to NOT hold the 2025 ISC Plenary in the vicinity of the FAI Secretariat in Lausanne. (Note: A yes vote = selecting from the other two bidders. A no vote = the Plenary is awarded to Lausanne.) With 36 yes votes, and 3 no votes, the 2025 Plenary will NOT be held in Lausanne, Switzerland.

31.2 75TH ISC PLENARY (2025)

For the 75th FAI ISC Plenary Meeting, 2025, two Proposals were received.

- Mr. Pasi Pirttikoski, Delegate for Finland, presented a Proposal to host the 2025 ISC Plenary Meeting in Rovaniemi, Finland from 29 January to 2 February, 2025.
- Mr. Sandro Gargini, Delegate for Italy, presented a Proposal to host the 2025 ISC Plenary Meeting in Rome, Italy from 28 January to 2 February, 2025. Vote to Select the preferred proposal to host the 2025 Plenary

Delegates were asked to vote to accept the preferred Bid to host the 75th ISC Plenary Meeting in 2025.

VOTE: to approve Rome, Italy or Rovaniemi, Finland as the host of the 2025 ISC Plenary. With 21 votes in favour of Rome, 17 votes in favour of Rovaniemi, and 0 abstentions, Rome will be the host of the 2025 Plenary. Congratulations to Rome.

31.3 76TH ISC PLENARY (2026)

Note: It was noted that with one delegate having left the meeting, 38 NACs were represented for the remainder of the Plenary Meeting.

A late Bid had been received from Saudi Arabia to host the 76th FAI ISC Plenary Meeting in 2026. Gill RAYNER stated that a 2/3 majority vote was required to put the Bid on the agenda.

VOTE: to agree to put the Bid to host the 2026 ISC Plenary on the Agenda. With 1 vote against and 4 abstentions, the Bid was put on the agenda.

Mr. Omar ALHEGELAN, Delegate for Saudi Arabia, presented a Proposal to host the 2026 ISC Plenary Meeting in Saudi Arabia from 20-25 January, 2026.

Before a vote was taken regarding Saudi Arabia, it was necessary to determine if the Plenary would be held in Lausanne.

Vote: to hold the 2026 ISC Plenary in Lausanne. With 2 votes in favour and 36 votes against, the 2026 Plenary will NOT be held in Lausanne, Switzerland.

The Plenary was then asked to vote, by secret ballot to accept the Bid from Saudi Arabia.

SECRET VOTE: to accept the Bid from Saudi Arabia to host the 76th FAI ISC Plenary Meeting. With 21 votes in favour, 17 votes against and 0 abstentions, the Bid to host the 2026 Plenary was awarded to Saudi Arabia.

The Bid by Saudi Arabia is appended to these minutes. (Appendix 32)

32 THANKS TO HOST AND ADJOURNMENT OF 74TH PLENARY MEETING

Gill RAYNER thanked USA NAC and Albert BERCHTOLD, the Executive Director of the United States Parachuting Association as well as all of those who helped put the meeting together.

She stated that it was the end of her term of office, and thanked everyone for allowing her to serve the ISC over the years. She said it had been great fun, enjoyed working with everyone and would be available to assist with the hand-over to the new Bureau.

32.1 ADJOURNMENT

The 74th ISC Plenary Meeting adjourned at 11:50 ET.



Fédération Aéronautique Internationale
Avenue de Rhodanie 54
CH-1007 Lausanne
Switzerland
Tel: +41 21 345 10 70
Fax: +41 21 345 10 77
www.fai.org
info@fai.org