

F1 Technical Meeting Minutes 2013

Report by Ian Kaynes

Present:

Name	Country	Title
Ian Kaynes	GBR	F1SC Chairman
Trevor Grey	GBR	National expert (GBR F1 vote)
Andras Lindner	GER	F1Q expert
Paul Seren	GER	F1SC (GER vote)
Per Findahl	SWE	National expert (SWE vote)
Christoph Bachmann	SUI	National expert (SUI vote)
Wilhelm Kamp	AUT	F1SC (AUT vote)
Mike Colling	GBR	Education SC member
Jari Valo	FIN	Delegate (FIN vote)
Cesare Gianni	ITA	F1SC (ITA vote)
Srdjan Pelagic	SRB	delegate, SMSC Chair (SRB vote)
Andras Ree	HUN	3rd VP, delegate (HUN vote)
Cenny Breeman	BEL	alternate delegate (BEL vote)
Chimed Ganzorig	MGL	delegate (MGL vote)

MINUTES - PROPOSALS

Page 13	Section 4B
a) B.2.1 Open International Contests	Submitted by: Canada
Amended at the Technical Meeting? NO	
S-C Voting (<i>prior to the Technical Meeting</i>):	For: 0 Against:10 Abstain:
Technical Meeting Voting:	For: 0 Against: 8 Abstain:
Comments : FFTM unanimously opposed the proposal	

Page 13	Section 4B
b) B.2.3 Continental Championships	Submitted by: UK
Amended at the Technical Meeting? NO	
S-C Voting (<i>prior to the Technical Meeting</i>):	For: 0 Against: 10 Abstain:
Technical Meeting Voting:	For: 0 Against: 9 Abstain:
Comments : FFTM unanimously opposed the proposal	

Page 14	Section 4B
c) B.2.4 World Championships	Submitted by: UK
Amended at the Technical Meeting? NO	
S-C Voting (<i>prior to the Technical Meeting</i>):	For: 0 Against:10 Abstain:
Technical Meeting Voting:	For: 0 Against: 9 Abstain:
Comments : FFTM unanimously opposed the proposal	

Page 15	Section 4B
e) B.3.5 National teams for World and Continental Championships	Submitted by: F1SC
Amended at the Technical Meeting? NO	
S-C Voting (<i>prior to the Technical Meeting</i>):	For: 10 Against: 0 Abstain:
Technical Meeting Voting:	For: 9 Against:0 Abstain:
Comments : FFTM unanimously supported the proposal	

Page 17	Section 4B		
	j) B.16.1 Individual Classification	Submitted by:	F1SC
	Amended at the Technical Meeting? YES (typographical correction)		
	e) For those categories where a junior may participate in a Continental or World Championship National Team under B.3.5.(b) , individual awards for junior competitors will be awarded to the first, second and third place juniors.		
	f) Where at least four juniors from at least four different nations participate under B.3.5.(b) , the winner shall earn the title of Junior World or Continental Champion in the category.		
	S-C Voting (prior to the Technical Meeting):	For: 9	Against:0 Abstain:
	Technical Meeting Voting:	For: 9	Against: 0 Abstain:
	Comments : FFTM unanimously supported the proposal		

Page 21	Section 4B		
	o) B.17.15 Processing of FF model aircraft	Submitted by:	F1SC
	Amended at the Technical Meeting? NO		
	S-C Voting (prior to the Technical Meeting):	For: 10	Against:0 Abstain:
	Technical Meeting Voting:	For: 9	Against:0 Abstain:
	Comments : FFTM unanimously supported the proposal		

Page 23	Section 4C		
	a) 1.1 General definitions of model aircraft	Submitted by:	Germany
	Amended at the Technical Meeting? YES		
	Incorporate as part of 1.3.3 not in paragraph 1.1.		
	S-C Voting (prior to the Technical Meeting):	For: 4	Against:5 Abstain:
	Technical Meeting Voting:	For: 0	Against:9 Abstain:
	Comments : The F1 TM considered that this proposal should be included in 1.3.3 the definition of radio controlled flight. 1) The definition of Free Flight 1.3.1 includes a more general ban on any closed loop control systems (which includes electronic stabilisation, the subject of this proposal) and makes specific exception for F1E which may use any device to control the steering of the model. The position of F1E would be unclear if the German proposal was positioned as a general requirement on all model aircraft. 2) All supporting data concerns radio controlled classes (F3 and F4C)		

Page 26	Class: F1N		
	a) F1N Indoor hand launch glider – change to official status	Submitted by:	Serbia
	Amended at the Technical Meeting? NO		
	S-C Voting (prior to the Technical Meeting):	For: 6	Against:1 Abstain:
	Technical Meeting Voting:	For: 9	Against: 0 Abstain:
	Comments : FFTM unanimously supported the proposal		

Page 26	Class: F1N		
	b) 3.N.4 Definition of an official flight	Submitted by:	Serbia
	Amended at the Technical Meeting? NO		
	S-C Voting (prior to the Technical Meeting):	For: 8	Against:0 Abstain:
	Technical Meeting Voting:	For: 9	Against: 0 Abstain:
	Comments : FFTM unanimously supported the proposal		

Page 27	Class: F1N		
	c) 3.N.5 Definition of an unsuccessful attempt	Submitted by:	Serbia
	Amended at the Technical Meeting? NO		
	S-C Voting (prior to the Technical Meeting):	For:8	Against: 0 Abstain:
	Technical Meeting Voting:	For: 9	Against: 0 Abstain:
	Comments : FFTM unanimously supported the proposal		

Page 27	Class: F1A		
	d) 3.1.2 Characteristics of gliders F1A	Submitted by:	F1SC
	Amended at the Technical Meeting? NO		
	S-C Voting (prior to the Technical Meeting):	For: 10	Against:0 Abstain:
	Technical Meeting Voting:	For: 9	Against:0 Abstain:
	Comments : FFTM unanimously supported the proposal (also applies to F1B and F1E)		

Page 27	Class: F1A		
	e) 3.1.5 Definition of an unsuccessful attempt	Submitted by:	F1SC
	Amended at the Technical Meeting? NO		
	S-C Voting (prior to the Technical Meeting):	For: 9	Against:1 Abstain:
	Technical Meeting Voting:	For: 8	Against: 2 Abstain:
	Comments : FFTM supported the proposal		

Page 28	Class: F1C		
	f) 3.3.2 Characteristics of model aircraft with piston motors F1C	Submitted by:	F1SC
	Amended at the Technical Meeting? NO		
	S-C Voting (prior to the Technical Meeting):	For: 10	Against: 0 Abstain:
	Technical Meeting Voting:	For: 9	Against: 0 Abstain:
	Comments : FFTM unanimously supported the proposal (Also applies to F1Q)		

Page 28	Class: F1G		
	g) 3.G.2 Characteristics of model aircraft with extensible motor F1G	F1SC	
	Amended at the Technical Meeting? NO		
	S-C Voting (prior to the Technical Meeting):	For:10	Against: 0 Abstain:
	Technical Meeting Voting:	For: 9	Against: 0 Abstain:
	Comments : FFTM unanimously supported the proposal (Also applies to F1H)		

Page 28	Class: F1J		
	h) 3.J.2 Characteristics of model aircraft with piston type motors	Submitted by:	F1SC
	Amended at the Technical Meeting? NO		
	S-C Voting (prior to the Technical Meeting):	For: 9	Against:1 Abstain:
	Technical Meeting Voting:	For: 9	Against: 0 Abstain:
	Comments FFTM unanimously supported the proposal (Also applies to F1K and F1P)		

Page 29	Class: F1Q		
	i) 3.Q.2 Characteristics	Submitted by:	F1SC
	Amended at the Technical Meeting? YES		
	Amend paragraphs (a) and (b)		
	a) no change		
	b) For models without energy limiters the motor's energy in watt-sec over the motor run is calculated as the measured wattage multiplied by the motor run. A freshly charged battery (4.15 to 4.2 volts per Li cell, 1.2 volts per NiCad or NMH cells) should be used. After the motor has reached full power, the power is measured at a time equal to the nearest whole second below half the planned motor run. The power is measured using a commercial wattmeter via 3.5 mm male and female bullet connectors furnished by the contestant. The calculated motor run should be clearly marked on the model.		
	:		
	S-C Voting (prior to the Technical Meeting):	For: 4	Against: 1 Abstain:
	Technical Meeting Voting:	For: 6	Against: 0 Abstain: 3
	Comments : Proposed changes to para (a) dropped. Slight amendment to para (b) adapted from USA proposal.		

Page 30	Class: F1Q		
	j) 3.Q.2 Characteristics	Submitted by:	Germany
	Amended at the Technical Meeting? NO		
	S-C Voting (<i>prior to the Technical Meeting</i>):	For: 3	Against:3 Abstain:
	Technical Meeting Voting:	For: 3	Against:2 Abstain: 4
	Comments :		

Page 30	Class: F1Q		
	k) 3.Q.2 Characteristics	Submitted by:	Germany
	Amended at the Technical Meeting? NO		
	S-C Voting (<i>prior to the Technical Meeting</i>):	For:3	Against: 3 Abstain:
	Technical Meeting Voting:	For:6	Against: 0 Abstain:3
	Comments :		

Page 30	Class: F1Q		
	l) 3.Q.2 Characteristics	Submitted by:	Germany
	Amended at the Technical Meeting? NO		
	S-C Voting (<i>prior to the Technical Meeting</i>):	For: 3	Against: 3 Abstain:
	Technical Meeting Voting:	For:	Against: Abstain:
	Comments : to be withdrawn by Germany		

Page 30	Class: F1Q		
	m) 3.Q.2 Characteristics	Submitted by:	UK
	Amended at the Technical Meeting? NO		
	S-C Voting (<i>prior to the Technical Meeting</i>):	For: 1	Against:5 Abstain:
	Technical Meeting Voting:	For:	Against: Abstain:
	Comments : to be withdrawn by UK		

Page 30	Class: F1Q		
	n) 3.Q.2 Characteristics	Submitted by:	USA
	Amended at the Technical Meeting? NO		
	S-C Voting (<i>prior to the Technical Meeting</i>):	For: 1	Against: 4 Abstain:
	Technical Meeting Voting:	For:	Against: Abstain:
	Comments : Not voted on, the meeting preferred the F1SC proposal which covers most points in the USA proposal		

Page 31	F1 World Cup		
	o) Annex 1 - 4. Points allocation	Submitted by:	F1SC
	Amended at the Technical Meeting? NO		
	S-C Voting (<i>prior to the Technical Meeting</i>):	For:8	Against: 2 Abstain:
	Technical Meeting Voting:	For:	Against: Abstain:
	Comments : Not supported in the FFTM, withdrawn by F1SC		

Page 31	New annex 4		
	Free Flight Ranking	Submitted by:	F1SC
	Amended at the Technical Meeting? NO		
	S-C Voting (<i>prior to the Technical Meeting</i>):	For: 4	Against: 3 Abstain:
	Technical Meeting Voting:	For: 6	Against: 0 Abstain:3
	Comments : FFTM supported the proposal		

MINUTES – OTHER ITEMS

Presentations were made by representatives of the countries bidding for the 2015 F1A F1B F1C World Championships – Mongolia and Serbia.